

Introduction

MATHEMATICS RAISES A WEALTH of philosophical questions, which have occupied some of the greatest thinkers in history. So when writing this book, some hard choices had to be made.

Let me begin with the aim of the book. Its target audience are advanced undergraduates and graduate students in philosophy, but also mathematicians and others interested in the foundations of one of the most successful, but also most puzzling, human endeavors. For the most part, the book does not presuppose much mathematics. Knowledge of elementary logic, the number systems from the natural numbers up through the reals, and some basic ideas from the calculus will be plenty for all except two late chapters devoted to set theory. While some familiarity with the philosophical mode of thinking will be a clear advantage, I have attempted to explain all relevant philosophical concepts.

I make no attempt to hide my own views concerning what is important and what works. Accordingly, my discussion has some general themes that serve to distinguish it from other introductions to the subject. First, Frege figures prominently in the book, both through his own views and his criticism of other thinkers. While my views often differ from Frege's, I share his fundamental conviction that mathematics is an *autonomous science*. Like other sciences, mathematics uses a meaningful language to express truths, ever more of which are discovered. Yet mathematics differs profoundly from the paradigmatic empirical sciences concerning the nature of its subject matter and the methods it employs. Following Frege, I am critical of any kind of formalism or fictionalism that deprives mathematics of its status as a body of truths, and of any attempt to assimilate mathematics to the empirical sciences. Frege famously defended the objectivity of mathematics. Just as geographers discover continents and oceans, so mathematicians explore numbers and sets. The two

Introduction

kinds of object are equally “real” and are described by equally objective truths.

A second theme of the book is how to understand the objects (such as numbers and sets) that mathematics explores. I pay more attention than is customary to the question of whether mathematical objects can be accepted without fully embracing a so-called platonistic conception of them. So I discuss some less demanding conceptions of mathematical objects. Might these objects be explicable in terms of a network of objective mathematical truths? Or might they be constructed by us? Or might they exist only potentially, not actually?

A final theme concerns mathematical knowledge. This knowledge must be explained in a way that links up with the subject matter of mathematics. It is not just an accident that our mathematical beliefs tend to be true. We would like to know why. What is it about our ways of forming mathematical beliefs which ensures that most of the beliefs correctly represent their subject matter? The answer must draw on an account of mathematical evidence. So what evidence do we have for our mathematical beliefs? A variety of answers have been proposed. Perhaps the evidence is logical or conceptual, or broadly perceptual in character, or of some indirect form that flows from mathematical principles’ ability to explain and systematize knowledge already established. My approach to the question of mathematical evidence will be *pluralist* and *gradualist*. That is, one form of evidence need not exclude another. And evidence may come in degrees, such that the elementary parts of mathematics enjoy a higher degree of evidence than the more advanced parts, especially those of a highly set-theoretic character.

Space considerations have forced me to downplay some issues to make room for a proper discussion of the themes just described. There is no systematic discussion of the philosophy of mathematics before Frege’s pioneering works of the 1880s and 1890s. I give only the briefest of introductions to Plato’s and Kant’s views on the subject. Traditional geometry receives little attention. Other important topics receive none. Examples include Wittgenstein on mathematics, explanation in mathematics, the philosophy of mathematical practice, the use of experimental

and other nontraditional methods in mathematics, and new developments such as homotopy type theory.¹

The first seven chapters cover topics that tend to be included in any good course in the philosophy of mathematics. The last five chapters discuss more recent developments. These chapters are more specialized and somewhat more demanding, both mathematically and philosophically, but are largely independent of one another (except for Chapter 12, which depends on Chapter 10).

¹ Useful introductions to these topics can be found in Rodych (2011), Mancosu (2015, 2008), Baker (2015), and Awodey (2014), respectively.