# Mesopotamia, 1800 bce 

We would more properly have to call<br>"Babylonian" many things which the Greek tradition had brought down to us as "Pythagorean."<br>-Otto Neugebauer, quoted in Bartel van der Waerden, Science Awakening, p. 77

The vast region stretching from the Euphrates and Tigris Rivers in the east to the mountains of Lebanon in the west is known as the Fertile Crescent. It was here, in modern Iraq, that one of the great civilizations of antiquity rose to prominence four thousand years ago: Mesopotamia. Hundreds of thousands of clay tablets, found over the past two centuries, attest to a people who flourished in commerce and architecture, kept accurate records of astronomical events, excelled in the arts and literature, and, under the rule of Hammurabi, created the first legal code in history. Only a small fraction of this vast archeological treasure trove has been studied by scholars; the great majority of tablets lie in the basements of museums around the world, awaiting their turn to be deciphered and give us a glimpse into the daily life of ancient Babylon.

Among the tablets that have received special scrutiny is one with the unassuming designation "YBC 7289," meaning that it is tablet number 7289 in the Babylonian Collection of Yale University (fig. 1.1). The tablet dates from the Old Babylonian period of the Hammurabi dynasty, roughly 1800-1600 bce. It shows a tilted square and its two diagonals, with some marks engraved along one side and under the horizontal diagonal. The marks are in cuneiform (wedge-shaped) characters, carved with a stylus into a piece of soft clay which was then dried in the sun or baked in an oven. They turn out to be numbers, written in the peculiar Babylonian numeration system that used the base 60. In this sexagesimal system, numbers up to 59 were written in essentially our modern base-ten numeration system, but without a zero. Units were written as vertical Y-shaped notches, while tens were marked with similar notches written horizontally. Let us denote these symbols by $\mid$ and -, respectively. The number 23, for example, would be written as - - $\|\|$. When a number exceeded 59,


Figure 1.1. YBC 7289
it was arranged in groups of 60 in much the same way as we bunch numbers into groups of ten in our base-ten system. Thus, 2,413 in the sexagesimal system is $40 \times 60+13$, which was written as - - - - $||\mid$ (often a group of several identical symbols was stacked, evidently to save space).

Because the Babylonians did not have a symbol for the "empty slot"-our modern zero-there is often an ambiguity as to how the numbers should be grouped. In the example just given, the numerals - - - - - \| || could also stand for $40 \times 60^{2}+13 \times 60=144,780$; or they could mean $40 / 60+$ $13=13.166$, or any other combination of powers of 60 with the coefficients 40 and 13. Moreover, had the scribe made the space between - - - and - ||| too small, the number might have erroneously been read as - - -
 must be deduced from the context, presenting an additional challenge to scholars trying to decipher these ancient documents.

Luckily, in the case of YBC 7289 the task was relatively easy. The number along the upper-left side is easily recognized as 30 . The one immediately under the horizontal diagonal is $1 ; 24,51,10$ (we are using here the modern notation for writing Babylonian numbers, in which commas separate the sexagesimal "digits," and a semicolon separates the integral part of a number from its fractional part). Writing this number in our base-10 system, we get $1+24 / 60+51 / 60^{2}+10 / 60^{3}=1.414213$, which is none other than the decimal value of $\sqrt{2}$, accurate to the nearest one hundred thousandth! And when this number is multiplied by 30 , we get 42.426389 , which is the sexagesimal number $42 ; 25,35$-the number on the second line below the diagonal. The conclusion is inescapable: the Babylonians knew the relation between the length of the diagonal of a square and its side, $d=a \sqrt{2}$. But this in turn means that they were familiar with the Pythagorean theorem-or at the very least, with its special case for the diagonal of a square $\left(d^{2}=a^{2}+a^{2}=2 a^{2}\right)$ —more than a thousand years before the great sage for whom it was named.

Two things about this tablet are especially noteworthy. First, it proves that the Babylonians knew how to compute the square root of a number to a remarkable accuracy-in fact, an accuracy equal to that of a modern eight-digit calculator. ${ }^{1}$ But even more remarkable is the probable purpose of this particular document: by all likelihood, it was intended as an example of how to find the diagonal of any square: simply multiply the length of the side by $1 ; 24,51,10$. Most people, when given this task, would follow the "obvious" but more tedious route: start with 30 , square it, double the result, and take the square root: $d=\sqrt{30^{2}+30^{2}}=\sqrt{1800}=42.4264$, rounded to four places. But suppose you had to do this over and over for squares of different sizes; you would have to repeat the process each time with a new number, a rather tedious task. The anonymous scribe who carved these numbers into a clay tablet nearly four thousand years ago showed us a simpler way: just multiply the side of the square by $\sqrt{2}$ (fig. 1.2). Some simplification!


Figure 1.2. A square and its diagonal

But there remains one unanswered question: why did the scribe choose a side of 30 for his example? There are two possible explanations: either this tablet referred to some particular situation, perhaps a square field of side 30 for which it was required to find the length of the diagonal; or-and this is more plausible-he chose 30 because it is one-half of 60 and therefore lends itself to easy multiplication. In our base-ten system, multiplying a number by 5 can be quickly done by halving the number and moving the decimal point one place to the right. For example, $2.86 \times 5=(2.86 / 2) \times 10=1.43 \times 10=14.3$ (more generally, $a \times 5=\frac{a}{2} \times 10$ ). Similarly, in the sexagesimal system multiplying a number by 30 can be done by halving the number and moving the "sexagesimal point" one place to the right ( $a \times 30=\frac{a}{2} \times 60$ ).

Let us see how this works in the case of YBC 7289. We recall that $1 ; 24,51,10$ is short for $1+24 / 60+51 / 60^{2}+10 / 60^{3}$. Dividing this by 2 , we get $\frac{1}{2}+\frac{12}{60}+\frac{25 \frac{1}{2}}{60^{2}}+\frac{5}{60^{3}}$, which we must rewrite so that each coefficient of a power of 60 is an integer. To do so, we replace the $1 / 2$ in the first and third terms by by $30 / 60$, getting $\frac{30}{60}+\frac{12}{60}+\frac{25+\frac{30}{60}}{60^{2}}+\frac{5}{60^{3}}=\frac{42}{60}+\frac{25}{60^{2}}+\frac{35}{60^{3}}=0 ; 42,25,35$. Finally, moving the sexagesimal point one place to the right gives us $42 ; 25,35$, the length of the diagonal. It thus seems that our scribe chose 30 simply for pragmatic reasons: it made his calculations that much easier.


If YBC 7289 is a remarkable example of the Babylonians' mastery of elementary geometry, another clay tablet from the same period goes even further: it shows that they were familiar with algebraic procedures as well. ${ }^{2}$ Known as


Figure 1.3. Plimpton 322

Plimpton 322 (so named because it is number 322 in the G. A. Plimpton Collection at Columbia University; see fig. 1.3), it is a table of four columns, which might at first glance appear to be a record of some commercial transaction. A close scrutiny, however, has disclosed something entirely different: the tablet is a list of Pythagorean triples, positive integers $(a, b, c)$ such that $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$. Examples of such triples are $(3,4,5),(5,12,13)$, and $(8,15,17)$. Because of the Pythagorean theorem, ${ }^{3}$ every such triple represents a right triangle with sides of integer length.

Unfortunately, the left edge of the tablet is partially missing, but traces of modern glue found on the edges prove that the missing part broke off after the tablet was discovered, raising the hope that one day it may show up on the antiquities market. Thanks to meticulous scholarly research, the missing part has been partially reconstructed, and we can now read the tablet with relative ease. Table 1.1 reproduces the text in modern notation. There are four columns, of which the rightmost, headed by the words "its name" in the original text, merely gives the sequential number of the lines from 1 to 15 . The second and third columns (counting from right to left) are headed "solving number of the diagonal" and "solving number of the width," respectively; that is, they give the length of the diagonal and of the short side of a rectangle, or equivalently, the length of the hypotenuse and the short leg of a right triangle. We will label these columns with the letters $c$ and $b$, respectively. As

Table 1.1
Plimpton 322

| $(c / a)^{2}$ | $b$ | $c$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[1,59,0] 15$, | 1,59 | 2,49 | 1 |
| $[1,56,56] 58,14,50,6,15$, | 56,7 | $3,12,1$ | 2 |
| $[1,55,7] 41,15,33,45$, | $1,16,41$ | $1,50,49$ | 3 |
| $[1] ,5[3,1] 0,29,32,52,16$ | $3,31,49$ | $5,9,1$ | 4 |
| $[1] 48,54,1,40$, | 1,5 | 1,37 | 5 |
| $[1] 47,6,41,40$, | 5,19 | 8,1 | 6 |
| $[1] 43,11,56,28,26,40$, | 38,11 | 59,1 | 7 |
| $[1] 41,33,59,3,45$, | 13,19 | 20,49 | 8 |
| $[1] 38,33,36,36$, | 9,1 | 12,49 | 9 |
| $1,35,10,2,28,27,24,26,40$ | $1,22,41$ | $2,16,1$ | 10 |
| $1,33,45$ | 45 | 1,15 | 11 |
| $1,29,21,54,2,15$ | 27,59 | 48,49 | 12 |
| $[1] 27,0,3,45$, | $7,12,1$ | 4,49 | 13 |
| $1,25,48,51,35,6,40$ | 29,31 | 53,49 | 14 |
| $[1] 23,13,46,40$, | 56 | 53 | 15 |

Note: The numbers in brackets are reconstructed.
an example, the first line shows the entries $b=1,59$ and $c=2,49$, which represent the numbers $1 \times 60+59=119$ and $2 \times 60+49=169$. A quick calculation gives us the other side as $a=\sqrt{169^{2}-119^{2}}=\sqrt{14400}=120$; hence $(119,120,169)$ is a Pythagorean triple. Again, in the third line we read $b=1,16,41=1 \times 60^{2}+16 \times 60+41=4601$, and $c=1,50,49=1 \times 60^{2}+50 \times$ $60+49=6649$; therefore, $a=\sqrt{6649^{2}-4601^{2}}=\sqrt{23040000}=4800$, giving us the triple $(4601,4800,6649)$.

The table contains some obvious errors. In line 9 we find $b=9,1=$ $9 \times 60+1=541$ and $c=12,49=12 \times 60+49=769$, and these do not form a Pythagorean triple (the third number $a$ not being an integer). But if we replace the 9,1 by $8,1=481$, we do indeed get an integer value for $a$ : $a=\sqrt{769^{2}-481^{2}}=\sqrt{360000}=600$, resulting in the triple $(481,600,769)$. It seems that this error was simply a "typo"; the scribe may have been momentarily distracted and carved nine marks into the soft clay instead of eight; and once the tablet dried in the sun, his oversight became part of recorded history.


Figure 1.4. The cosecant of an angle: $\csc A=c / a$

Again, in line 13 we have $b=7,12,1=7 \times 60^{2}+12 \times 60+1=25921$ and $c=$ $4,49=4 \times 60+49=289$, and these do not form a Pythagorean triple; but we may notice that 25921 is the square of 161 , and the numbers 161 and 289 do form the triple ( $161,240,289$ ). It seems the scribe simply forgot to take the square root of 25921 . And in row 15 we find $c=53$, whereas the correct entry should be twice that number, that is, $106=1,46$, producing the triple $(56,90$, 106). ${ }^{4}$ These errors leave one with a sense that human nature has not changed over the past four thousand years; our anonymous scribe was no more guilty of negligence than a student begging his or her professor to ignore "just a little stupid mistake" on the exam. ${ }^{5}$

The leftmost column is the most intriguing of all. Its heading again mentions the word "diagonal," but the exact meaning of the remaining text is not entirely clear. However, when one examines its entries a startling fact comes to light: this column gives the square of the ratio $c / a$, that is, the value of $\csc ^{2} A$, where $A$ is the angle opposite side $a$ and csc is the cosecant function studied in trigonometry (fig. 1.4). Let us verify this for line 1 . We have $b=1,59=119$ and $c=2,49=169$, from which we find $a=120$. Hence $(c / a)^{2}=(169 / 120)^{2}=$ 1.983 , rounded to three places. And this indeed is the corresponding entry in column 4: $1 ; 59,0,15=1+59 / 60+0 / 60^{2}+15 / 60^{3}=1.983$. (We should note again that the Babylonians did not use a symbol for the "empty slot" and therefore a number could be interpreted in many different ways; the correct interpretation must be deduced from the context. In the example just cited, we assume that the leading 1 stands for units rather than sixties.) The reader may check other entries in this column and confirm that they are equal to $(c / a)^{2}$.

Several questions immediately arise: Is the order of entries in the table random, or does it follow some hidden pattern? How did the Babylonians find
those particular numbers that form Pythagorean triples? And why were they interested in these numbers-and in particular, in the ratio $(c / a)^{2}$-in the first place? The first question is relatively easy to answer: if we compare the values of $(c / a)^{2}$ line by line, we discover that they decrease steadily from 1.983 to 1.387, so it seems likely that the order of entries was determined by this sequence. Moreover, if we compute the square root of each entry in column 4that is, the ratio $c / a=\csc A-$ and then find the corresponding angle $A$, we discover that $A$ increases steadily from just above $45^{\circ}$ to $58^{\circ}$. It therefore seems that the author of this text was not only interested in finding Pythagorean triples, but also in determining the ratio $c / a$ of the corresponding right triangles. This hypothesis may one day be confirmed if the missing part of the tablet shows up, as it may well contain the missing columns for $a$ and $c / a$. If so, Plimpton 322 will go down as history's first trigonometric table.

As to how the Babylonian mathematicians found these triples-including such enormously large ones as $(4601,4800,6649)$-there is only one plausible explanation: they must have known an algorithm which, 1,500 years later, would be formalized in Euclid's Elements: Let $u$ and $v$ be any two positive integers, with $u>v$; then the three numbers

$$
\begin{equation*}
a=2 u v, \quad b=u^{2}-v^{2}, \quad c=u^{2}+v^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a Pythagorean triple. (If in addition we require that $u$ and $v$ are of opposite parity-one even and the other odd-and that they do not have any common factor other than 1 , then $(a, b, c)$ is a primitive Pythagorean triple, that is, $a, b$, and $c$ have no common factor other than 1.) It is easy to confirm that the numbers $a, b$, and $c$ as given by equations (1) satisfy the equation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
a^{2}+b^{2} & =(2 u v)^{2}+\left(u^{2}-v^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =4 u^{2} v^{2}+u^{4}-2 u^{2} v^{2}+v^{4} \\
& =u^{4}+2 u^{2} v^{2}+v^{4} \\
& =\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)^{2}=c^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The converse of this statement-that every Pythagorean triple can be found in this way-is a bit harder to prove (see Appendix B).

Plimpton 322 thus shows that the Babylonians were not only familiar with the Pythagorean theorem, but that they knew the rudiments of number theory and had the computational skills to put the theory into practice-quite remarkable for a civilization that lived a thousand years before the Greeks produced their first great mathematician.

## Notes and Sources

1. For a discussion of how the Babylonians approximated the value of $\sqrt{2}$, see Appendix A.
2. The text that follows is adapted from Trigonometric Delights and is based on

Otto Neugebauer, The Exact Sciences in Antiquity (1957; rpt. New York: Dover, 1969), chap. 2. See also Eves, pp. 44-47.
3. More precisely, its converse: if the sides of a triangle satisfy the equation $a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}$, the triangle is a right triangle.
4. This, however, is not a primitive triple, since its members have the common factor 2 ; it can be reduced to the simpler triple $(28,45,53)$. The two triples represent similar triangles.
5. A fourth error occurs in line 2 , where the entry $3,12,1$ should be $1,20,25$, producing the triple ( $3367,3456,4825$ ). This error remains unexplained.

# Did the Egyptians Know It? 

The Egyptians must have used this formula $\left[a^{2}+b^{2}=c^{2}\right]$ or they couldn't have built their pyramids, but they have never expressed it as a useful theory.<br>—Joy Hakim, The Story of Science, p. 78

Five hundred miles to the southwest of Mesopotamia, along the Nile Valley, thrived a second great ancient civilization, Egypt. The two nations coexisted in relative peace for over three millennia, from about 3500 bCE to the time of the Greeks. Both developed advanced writing skills, were keen observers of the sky, and kept meticulous records of their military victories, commercial transactions, and cultural heritage. But whereas the Babylonians recorded all this on clay tablets-a virtually indestructible writing material-the Egyptians used papyrus, a highly fragile medium. Were it not for the dry desert climate, their writings would have long been disintegrated. Even so, our knowledge of ancient Egypt is less extensive than that of its Mesopotamian contemporary; what we do know comes mainly from artifacts found in the burial sites of the ruling Egyptian dynasties, from a handful of surviving papyrus scrolls, and from hieroglyphic inscriptions on their temples and monuments.

Most famous of all Egyptian shrines are the pyramids, built over a period of 1,500 years to glorify the pharaoh rulers during their lives, and even more so after their deaths. A huge body of literature has been written on the pyramids; regrettably, much of this literature is more fiction than fact. The pyramids have attracted a cult of worshipers who found in these monuments hidden connections to just about everything in the universe, from the numerical values of $\pi$ and the Golden Ratio to the alignment of planets and stars. To quote the eminent Egyptologist Richard J. Gillings: "Authors, novelists, journalists, and writers of fiction found during the nineteenth century a new topic [the pyramids], a new idea to develop, and the less that was known and clearly understood
about the subject, the more freely could they give rein to their imagination." ${ }^{1}$

Certainly, building such a huge monument as the Great Pyramid of Cheops- 756 feet on each side and soaring to a height of 481 feetrequired a good deal of mathematical knowledge, and surely that knowledge must have included the Pythagorean theorem. But did it? Our main source of information on ancient Egyptian mathematics comes from the Rhind Papyrus, a collection of eighty-four problems dealing with arithmetic, geometry, and rudimentary algebra. Discovered in 1858 by the Scottish Egyptologist A. Henry Rhind, the papyrus is 18 feet long and 13 inches wide. It survived in remarkably good condition and is the oldest mathematics textbook to reach us nearly intact (it is now in the British Museum in London). ${ }^{2}$ The papyrus was written about 1650 bCE by a scribe named A'h-mose, commonly known in the West as Ahmes. But it was not his own work; as A'h-mose tells us, he merely copied it from an older document dated to about 1800 bce. Each of the eightyfour problems is followed by a detailed step-by-step solution; some problems are accompanied by drawings. Most likely the work was a training manual for use in a school of scribes, for it was the sect of royal scribes to whom all literary tasks were assigned-reading, writing, and arithmetic, our modern "Three R's."

Of the eighty-four problems in the Rhind Papyrus, twenty are geometric in nature, dealing with such questions as finding the volume of a cylindrical granary or the area of a field of given dimensions (this latter problem was of paramount importance to the Egyptians, whose livelihood depended on the annual inundation of the Nile). Five of these problems specifically concern the pyramids; yet not once is there any reference in them to the Pythagorean theorem, either directly or by implication. One concept that does appear repeatedly is the slope of the side of a pyramid, a question of considerable significance to the builders, who had to ensure that all four faces maintained an equal and uniform slope. ${ }^{3}$ But the Pythagorean theorem? Not once.

Of course, the absence of evidence is not evidence of absence, as archeologists like to point out. Still, in all likelihood the Rhind Papyrus represented a summary of the kind of mathematics a learned person-a scribe, an architect, or a tax collector-might encounter in his career, and the absence of any reference to the Pythagorean theorem strongly suggests that the Egyptians did not know it. ${ }^{4}$ It is often said that they used a rope with knots tied at equal intervals to measure distances; the 3-4-5 knotted rope, so the logic goes, must have led the Egyptians to discover that a 3-4-5 triangle is a right triangle and thus, presumably, to the the fact that $3^{2}+4^{2}=5^{2}$. But there is no evidence whatsoever to support this hypothesis. It is even less plausible that they used the 3-4-5 rope to construct a right angle, as some authors have stated; it would
have been so much easier to use a plumb line for that purpose. The case is best summarized by quoting three eminent scholars of ancient mathematics:

In $90 \%$ of all the books [on the history of mathematics], one finds the statement the Egyptians knew the right triangle of sides 3, 4 and 5, and that they used it for laying out right angles. How much value has this statement? None!
—Bartel Leendert van der Waerden. ${ }^{5}$
There is no indication that the Egyptians had any notion even of the Pythagorean Theorem, despite some unfounded stories about "harpedonaptai" [rope stretchers], who supposedly constructed right triangles with the aid of a string with $3+4+5=12$ knots.
—Dirk Jan Struik. ${ }^{6}$
There seems to be no evidence that they knew that the triangle (3, 4,5 ) is right-angled; indeed, according to the latest authority (T. Eric Peet, The Rhind Mathematical Papyrus, 1923), nothing in Egyptian mathematics suggests that the Egyptians were acquainted with this or any special cases of the Pythagorean Theorem.

## —Sir Thomas Little Heath. ${ }^{7}$

Of course, archeologists may some day unearth a document showing a square with the lengths of its side and diagonal inscribed next to them, as in YBC 7289. But until that happens, we cannot conclude that the Egyptians knew of the relation between the sides and the hypotenuse of a right triangle.

## Notes and Sources
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3. On this subject, see Trigonometric Delights, pp. 6-9.
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the 3-4-5 sided triangle to lay out right angles] made it a 'universally known fact.' "
6. Concise History of Mathematics (New York: Dover, 1967), p. 24. Struik (1894-2000) was a Dutch-born scholar who taught at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology from 1926 to 1960. In his obituary, Evelyn Simha, director of the Dibner Institute for the History of Science and Technology at MIT, described Struik as "the instructor responsible for half the world's basic knowledge of the history of mathematics" (New York Times, October 26, 2000, p. A29). Active almost to the end, he died at the age of 106.
7. The Thirteen Books of Euclid's Elements, vol. 1 (London: Cambridge University Press, 1962), p. 352.

