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Chapter 1

Probability

1.1 Trials, Sample Spaces, and Events

The notions of trial, sample space, and event are fundamental to the study of probability theory. Tossing a coin, rolling a die, and choosing a card from a deck of cards are examples that are frequently used to explain basic concepts of probability. Each toss of the coin, roll of the die, or choice of a card is called a trial or experiment. We shall use the words trial and experiment interchangeably. Each execution of a trial is called a realization of the probability experiment.

At the end of any trial involving the examples given above, we are left with a head or a tail, an integer from one through six, or a particular card, perhaps the queen of hearts. The result of a trial is called an outcome. The set of all possible outcomes of a probability experiment is called the sample space and is denoted by $\Omega$. The outcomes that constitute a sample space are also referred to as sample points or elements. We shall use $\omega$ to denote an element of the sample space.

Example 1.1 The sample space for coin tossing has two sample points, a head ($H$) and a tail ($T$). This gives $\Omega = \{H, T\}$, as shown in Figure 1.1.

Figure 1.1. Sample space for tossing a coin has two elements $\{H, T\}$.

Example 1.2 For throwing a die, the sample space is $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$, Figure 1.2, which represents the number of spots on the six faces of the die.

Figure 1.2. Sample space for throwing a die has six elements $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}$.

Example 1.3 For choosing a card, the sample space is a set consisting of 52 elements, one for each of the 52 cards in the deck, from the ace of spades through the king of hearts.

Example 1.4 If an experiment consists of three tosses of a coin, then the sample space is given by $\{HHH, HHT, HTH, THH, HTT, THT, TTH, TTT\}$. Notice that the element $HHT$ is considered to be different from the elements $HTH$ and $THH$, even though all three tosses give two heads and one tail. The position in which the tail occurs is important.

A sample space may be finite, denumerable (i.e., infinite but countable), or infinite. Its elements depend on the experiment and how the outcome of the experiment is defined. The four illustrative examples given above all have a finite number of elements.
4 Probability

**Example 1.5** The sample space derived from an experiment that consists of observing the number of email messages received at a government office in one day may be taken to be denumerable. The sample space is denumerable since we may tag each arriving email message with a unique integer \( n \) that denotes the number of emails received prior to its arrival. Thus, \( \Omega = \{ n \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \} \), where \( \mathbb{N} \) is the set of nonnegative integers.

**Example 1.6** The sample space that arises from an experiment consisting of measuring the time one waits at a bus stop is infinite. Each outcome is a nonnegative real number \( x \) and the sample space is given by \( \Omega = \{ x \mid x \geq 0 \} \).

If a finite number of trials is performed, then, no matter how large this number may be, there is no guarantee that every element of its sample space will be realized, even if the sample space itself is finite. This is a direct result of the essential probabilistic nature of the experiment. For example, it is possible, though perhaps not very likely (i.e., not very probable) that after a very large number of throws of the die, the number 6 has yet to appear.

Notice with emphasis that the sample space is a set, the set consisting of all the elements of the sample space, i.e., all the possible outcomes, associated with a given experiment. Since the sample space is a set, all permissible set operations can be performed on it. For example, the notion of subset is well defined and, for the coin tossing example, four subsets can be defined: the null subset \( \phi \); the subsets \( \{ H \} \), \( \{ T \} \); and the subset that contains all the elements, \( \Omega = \{ H, T \} \). The set of subsets of \( \Omega \) is \( \phi, \{ H \}, \{ T \}, \{ H, T \} \).

**Events**

The word *event* by itself conjures up the image of something having happened, and this is no different in probability theory. We toss a coin and get a head, we throw a die and get a five, we choose a card and get the ten of diamonds. Each experiment has an outcome, and in these examples, the outcome is an element of the sample space. These, the elements of the sample space, are called the *elementary events* of the experiment. However, we would like to give a broader meaning to the term event.

**Example 1.7** Consider the event of tossing three coins and getting exactly two heads. There are three outcomes that allow for this event, namely, \( \{ HHT, HTH, THH \} \). The single tail appears on the third, second, or first toss, respectively.

**Example 1.8** Consider the event of throwing a die and getting a prime number. Three outcomes allow for this event to occur, namely, \( \{ 2, 3, 5 \} \). This event comes to pass so long as the throw gives neither one, four, nor six spots.

In these last two examples, we have composed an event as a subset of the sample space, the subset \( \{ HHT, HTH, THH \} \) in the first case and the subset \( \{ 2, 3, 5 \} \) in the second. This is how we define an *event* in general. Rather than restricting our concept of an event to just another name for the elements of the sample space, we think of events as subsets of the sample space. In this case, the *elementary events* are the singleton subsets of the sample space, the subsets \( \{ H \}, \{ 5 \}, \) and \( \{ 10 \} \) of diamonds, for example. More complex events consist of subsets with more than one outcome. Defining an event as a subset of the sample space and not just as a subset that contains a single element provides us with much more flexibility and allows us to define much more general events.

The event is said “to occur” if and only if, the outcome of the experiment is any one of the elements of the subset that constitute the event. They are assigned names to help identify and manipulate them.
Example 1.9 Let \( A \) be the event that a throw of the die gives a number greater than 3. This event consists of the subset \( \{4, 5, 6\} \) and we write \( A = \{4, 5, 6\} \). Event \( A \) occurs if the outcome of the trial, the number of spots obtained when the die is thrown, is any one of the numbers 4, 5, and 6. This is illustrated in Figure 1.3.

![Figure 1.3. Event A: “Throw a number greater than 3.”](image)

Example 1.10 Let \( B \) be the event that the chosen card is a 9. Event \( B \) is the subset containing four elements of the sample space: the 9 of spades, the 9 of clubs, the 9 of diamonds, and the 9 of hearts. Event \( B \) occurs if the card chosen is one of these four.

Example 1.11 The waiting time in minutes at a bus stop can be any nonnegative real number. The sample space is \( \Omega = \{t \in \mathbb{R} | t \geq 0\} \), and \( A = \{2 \leq t \leq 10\} \) is the event that the waiting time is between 2 and 10 minutes. Event \( A \) occurs if the wait is 2.1 minutes or 3.5 minutes or 9.99 minutes, etc.

To summarize, the standard definition of an event is a subset of the sample space. It consists of a set of outcomes. The null (or empty) subset, which contains none of the sample points, and the subset containing the entire sample space are legitimate events—the first is called the “null” or impossible event (it can never occur); the second is called the “universal” or certain event and is sure to happen no matter what the outcome of the experiments gives. The execution of a trial, or observation of an experiment, must yield one and only one of the outcomes in the sample space. If a subset contains none of these outcomes, the event it represents cannot happen; if a subset contains all of the outcomes, then the event it represents must happen. In general, for each outcome in the sample space, either the event occurs (if that particular outcome is in the defining subset of the event) or it does not occur.

Two events \( A \) and \( B \) defined on the same sample space are said to be equivalent or identical if \( A \) occurs if and only if \( B \) occurs. Events \( A \) and \( B \) may be specified differently, but the elements in their defining subsets are identical. In set terminology, two sets \( A \) and \( B \) are equal (written \( A = B \)) if and only if \( A \subset B \) and \( B \subset A \).

Example 1.12 Consider an experiment that consists of simultaneously throwing two dice. The sample space consists of all pairs of the form \((i, j)\) for \(i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6\) and \(j = 1, 2, \ldots, 6\). Let \( A \) be the event that the sum of the number of spots obtained on the two dice is even, i.e., \( i + j \) is an even number, and let \( B \) be the event that both dice show an even number of spots or both dice show an odd number of spots, i.e., \( i \) and \( j \) are even or \( i \) and \( j \) are odd. Although event \( A \) has been stated differently from \( B \), a moment’s reflection should convince the reader that the sample points in both defining subsets must be exactly the same, and hence \( A = B \).

Viewing events as subsets allows us to apply typical set operations to them, operations such as set union, set intersection, set complementation, and so on.

1. If \( A \) is an event, then the complement of \( A \), denoted \( A' \), is also an event. \( A' \) is the subset of all sample points of \( \Omega \) that are not in \( A \). Event \( A' \) occurs only if \( A \) does not occur.
2. The union of two events \( A \) and \( B \), denoted \( A \cup B \), is the event consisting of all the sample points in \( A \) and in \( B \). It occurs if either \( A \) or \( B \) occurs.
3. The *intersection* of two events $A$ and $B$, denoted $A \cap B$, is also an event. It consists of the sample points that are in both $A$ and $B$ and occurs if both $A$ and $B$ occur.

4. The *difference* of two events $A$ and $B$, denoted by $A - B$, is the event that $A$ occurs and $B$ does not occur. It consists of the sample points that are in $A$ but not in $B$. This means that

$$A - B = A \cap B'. $$

It follows that $\Omega - B = \Omega \cap B' = B'$.

5. Finally, notice that if $B$ is a subset of $A$, i.e., $B \subset A$, then the event $B$ implies the event $A$. In other words, if $B$ occurs, it must follow that $A$ has also occurred.

**Example 1.13** Let event $A$ be “throw a number greater than 3” and let event $B$ be “throw an odd number.” Event $A$ occurs if a 4, 5, or 6 is thrown, and event $B$ occurs if a 1, 3, or 5 is thrown. Thus both events occur if a 5 is thrown (this is the event that is the *intersection* of events $A$ and $B$) and neither event occurs if a 2 is thrown (this is the event that is the *complement* of the union of $A$ and $B$). These are represented graphically in Figure 1.4. We have

$$A^c = \{1, 2, 3\}; \quad A \cup B = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6\}; \quad A \cap B = \{5\}; \quad A - B = \{4, 6\}. $$

![Figure 1.4](image-url)

**Example 1.14** Or again, consider the card-choosing scenario. The sample space for the deck of cards contains 52 elements, each of which constitutes an elementary event. Now consider two events. Let event $A$ be the subset containing the 13 elements corresponding to the diamond cards in the deck. Event $A$ occurs if any one of these 13 cards is chosen. Let event $B$ be the subset that contains the elements representing the four queens. This event occurs if one of the four queens is chosen. The event $A \cup B$ contains 16 elements, the 13 corresponding to the 13 diamonds plus the queens of spades, clubs, and hearts. The event $A \cup B$ occurs if any one of these 16 cards is chosen: i.e., if one of the 13 diamond cards is chosen *or* if one of the four queens is chosen (logical OR). On the other hand, the event $A \cap B$ has a single element, the element corresponding to the queen of diamonds. The event $A \cap B$ occurs only if a diamond card is chosen *and* that card is a queen (logical AND). Finally, the event $A - B$ occurs if any diamond card, *other than the queen of diamonds*, occurs.

Thus, as these examples show, the union of two events is also an event. It is the event that consists of all of the sample points in the two events. Likewise, the intersection of two events is the event that consists of the sample points that are simultaneously in both events. It follows that the union of an event and its complement is the universal event $\Omega$, while the intersection of an event and its complement is the null event $\phi$.

The definitions of union and intersection may be extended to more than two events. For $n$ events $A_1$, $A_2$, \ldots, $A_n$, they are denoted, respectively, by

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i.$$
In the first case, the event \( \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \) occurs if any one of the events \( A_i \) occurs, while the second event, \( \bigcap_{i=1}^{n} A_i \) occurs only if all the events \( A_i \) occur. The entire logical algebra is available for use with events, to give an “algebra of events.” Commutative, associative, and distributive laws, the laws of DeMorgan and so on, may be used to manipulate events. Some of the most important of these are as follows (where \( A, B, \) and \( C \) are subsets of the universal set \( \Omega \)):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intersection</th>
<th>Union</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( A \cap \Omega = A )</td>
<td>( A \cup \Omega = \Omega )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A \cap A = A )</td>
<td>( A \cup A = A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A \cap \phi = \phi )</td>
<td>( A \cup \phi = A )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A \cap (B \cap C) = (A \cap B) \cap C )</td>
<td>( A \cup (B \cup C) = (A \cup B) \cup C )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A \cap (B \cup C) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap C) )</td>
<td>( A \cup (B \cap C) = (A \cup B) \cap (A \cup C) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( (A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c )</td>
<td>( (A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Venn diagrams can be used to illustrate these results and can be helpful in establishing proofs. For example, an illustration of DeMorgan’s laws is presented in Figure 1.5.

![DeMorgan's Laws](image)

Figure 1.5. DeMorgan’s laws: \((A \cap B)^c = A^c \cup B^c\) and \((A \cup B)^c = A^c \cap B^c\).

**Mutually Exclusive and Collectively Exhaustive Events**

When two events \( A \) and \( B \) contain no element of the sample space in common (i.e., \( A \cap B \) is the null set), the events are said to be mutually exclusive or incompatible. The occurrence of one of them precludes the occurrence of the other. In the case of multiple events, \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n \) are mutually exclusive if and only if \( A_i \cap A_j = \phi \) for all \( i \neq j \).

**Example 1.15** Consider the four events, \( A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \) corresponding to the four suits in a deck of cards, i.e., \( A_1 \) contains the 13 elements corresponding to the 13 diamonds, \( A_2 \) contains the 13 elements corresponding to the 13 hearts, etc. Then none of the sets \( A_i \) through \( A_4 \) has any element in common. The four sets are mutually exclusive.

**Example 1.16** Similarly, in the die-throwing experiment, if we choose \( B_1 \) to be the event “throw a number greater than 5,” \( B_2 \) to be the event “throw an odd number,” and \( B_3 \) to be the event “throw a 2,” then the events \( B_1 \) through \( B_3 \) are mutually exclusive.

In all cases, an event \( A \) and its complement \( A^c \) are mutually exclusive. In general, a list of events is said to be mutually exclusive if no element in their sample space is in more than one event. This is illustrated in Figure 1.6.

When all the elements in a sample space can be found in at least one event in a list of events, then the list of events is said to be collectively exhaustive. In this case, no element of the sample space is omitted and a single element may be in more than one event. This is illustrated in Figure 1.7.
Events that are both mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive, such as those illustrated in Figure 1.8, are said to form a *partition* of the sample space. Additionally, the previously defined four events on the deck of cards, \(A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\), are both mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive and constitute a partition of the sample space. Furthermore, since the elementary events (or outcomes) of a sample space are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive they too constitute a partition of the sample space. Any set of mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive events is called an *event space*.

**Example 1.17** Bit sequences are transmitted over a communication channel in groups of five. Each bit may be received correctly or else be modified in transit, which occasions an error. Consider an experiment that consists in observing the bit values as they arrive and identifying them with the letter \(c\) if the bit is correct and with the letter \(e\) if the bit is in error.

The sample space consists of 32 outcomes from \(cccccc\) through \(eeeee\), from zero bits transmitted incorrectly to all five bits being in error. Let the event \(A_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, 5\), consist of all outcomes in which \(i\) bits are in error. Thus \(A_0 = \{cccccc\}, A_1 = \{ecccc, ceccc, ccccc, cccce, cccee\},\) and so on up to \(A_5 = \{eeeee\}\). The events \(A_i, i = 0, 1, \ldots, 5\), partition the sample space and therefore constitute an event space. It may be much easier to work in this small event space rather than in the larger sample space, especially if our only interest is in knowing the number of bits transmitted in error. Furthermore, when the bits are transmitted in larger groups, the difference becomes even more important. With 16 bits per group instead of five, the event space now contains 17 events, whereas the sample space contains \(2^{16}\) outcomes.
1.2 Probability Axioms and Probability Space

Probability Axioms

So far our discussion has been about trials, sample spaces, and events. We now tackle the topic of probabilities. Our concern will be with assigning probabilities to events, i.e., providing some measure of the relative likelihood of the occurrence of the event. We realize that when we toss a fair coin, we have a 50–50 chance that it will give a head. When we throw a fair die, the chance of getting a 1 is the same as that of getting a 2, or indeed any of the other four possibilities. If a deck of cards is well shuffled and we pick a single card, there is a one in 52 chance that it will be the queen of hearts. What we have done in these examples is to associate probabilities with the elements of the sample space; more correctly, we have assigned probabilities to the elementary events, the events consisting of the singleton subsets of the sample space.

Probabilities are real numbers in the closed interval \([0, 1]\). The greater the value of the probability, the more likely the event is to happen. If an event has probability zero, that event cannot occur; if it has probability one, then it is certain to occur.

Example 1.18 In the coin-tossing example, the probability of getting a head in a single toss is 0.5, since we are equally likely to get a head as we are to get a tail. This is written as

\[
\text{Prob}\{H\} = 0.5 \quad \text{or} \quad \text{Prob}\{A_1\} = 0.5,
\]

where \(A_1\) is the event \{H\}.

Similarly, the probability of throwing a 6 with a die is 1/6 and the probability of choosing the queen of hearts is 1/52. In these cases, the elementary events of each sample space all have equal probability, or equal likelihood, of being the outcome on any given trial. They are said to be \textit{equiprobable} events and the outcome of the experiment is said to be \textit{random}, since each event has the same chance of occurring. In a sample space containing \(n\) equally likely outcomes, the probability of any particular outcome occurring is \(1/n\). Naturally, we can assign probabilities to events other than elementary events.

Example 1.19 Find the probability that should be associated with the event \(A_2 = \{1, 2, 3\}\), i.e., throwing a number smaller than 4 using a fair die. This event occurs if any of the numbers 1, 2, or 3 is the outcome of the throw. Since each has a probability of 1/6 and there are three of them, the probability of event \(A_2\) is the sum of the probabilities of these three elementary events and is therefore equal to 0.5.

This holds in general: the probability of any event is simply the sum of the probabilities associated with the (elementary) elements of the sample space that constitute that event.

Example 1.20 Consider Figure 1.6 once again (reproduced here as Figure 1.9), and assume that each of the 24 points or elements of the sample space is equiprobable.

\[\text{Figure 1.9. Sample space with 24 equiprobable elements.}\]
Then event $A$ contains eight elements, and so the probability of this event is

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} + \frac{1}{24} = \frac{8}{24} = \frac{1}{3}.$$  

Similarly, $\text{Prob}\{B\} = \frac{4}{24} = \frac{1}{6}$ and $\text{Prob}\{C\} = \frac{8}{24} = \frac{1}{3}$.

Assigning probabilities to events is an extremely important part of developing probability models. In some cases, we know in advance the probabilities to associate with elementary events, while in other cases they must be estimated. If we assume that the coin and the die are fair and the deck of cards completely shuffled, then it is easy to associate probabilities with the elements of the sample space and subsequently to the events described on these sample spaces. In other cases, the probabilities must be guessed at or estimated.

Two approaches have been developed for defining probabilities: the relative frequency approach and the axiomatic approach. The first, as its name implies, consists in performing the probability experiment a great many times, say $N$, and counting the number of times a certain event occurs, say $n$. An estimate of the probability of the event may then be obtained as the relative frequency $\frac{n}{N}$ with which the event occurs, since we would hope that, in the limit (limit in a probabilistic sense) as $N \rightarrow \infty$, the ratio $\frac{n}{N}$ tends to the correct probability of the event. In mathematical terms, this is stated as follows: Given that the probability of an event is $p$, then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \text{Prob}\left\{\left|\frac{n}{N} - p\right| > \epsilon\right\} = 0$$

for any small $\epsilon > 0$. In other words, no matter how small we choose $\epsilon$ to be, the probability that the difference between $\frac{n}{N}$ and $p$ is greater than $\epsilon$ tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Use of relative frequencies as estimates of probability can be justified mathematically, as we shall see later.

The axiomatic approach sets up a small number of laws or axioms on which the entire theory of probability is based. Fundamental to this concept is the fact that it is possible to manipulate probabilities using the same logic algebra with which the events themselves are manipulated. The three basic axioms are as follows.

**Axiom 1:** For any event $A$, $0 \leq \text{Prob}\{A\} \leq 1$; i.e., probabilities are real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$.

**Axiom 2:** $\text{Prob}\{\Omega\} = 1$; The universal or certain event is assigned probability 1.

**Axiom 3:** For any countable collection of events $A_1, A_2, \ldots$ that are mutually exclusive,

$$\text{Prob}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right\} = \text{Prob}\{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n \cup \cdots\} = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \text{Prob}\{A_i\}.$$  

In some elementary texts, the third axiom is replaced with the simpler

**Axiom 3':** For two mutually exclusive events $A$ and $B$, $\text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} = \text{Prob}\{A\} + \text{Prob}\{B\}$

and a comment included stating that this extends in a natural sense to any finite or denumerable number of mutually exclusive events.

These three axioms are very natural; the first two are almost trivial, which essentially means that all of probability is based on unions of mutually exclusive events. To gain some insight, consider the following examples.

**Example 1.21** If $\text{Prob}\{A\} = p_1$ and $\text{Prob}\{B\} = p_2$ where $A$ and $B$ are two mutually exclusive events, then the probability of the events $A \cup B$ and $A \cap B$ are given by

$$\text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} = p_1 + p_2 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Prob}\{A \cap B\} = 0.$$
Example 1.22 If the sets $A$ and $B$ are not mutually exclusive, then the probability of the event $A \cup B$ will be less than $p_1 + p_2$ since some of the elementary events will be present in both $A$ and $B$, but can only be counted once. The probability of the event $A \cap B$ will be greater than zero; it will be the sum of the probabilities of the elementary events found in the intersection of the two subsets. It follows then that

$$\text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} = \text{Prob}\{A\} + \text{Prob}\{B\} - \text{Prob}\{A \cap B\}.$$ 

Observe that the probability of an event $A$, formed from the union of a set of mutually exclusive events, is equal to the sum of the probabilities of those mutually exclusive events, i.e.,

$$A = \text{Prob}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i\right) = \text{Prob}\{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_n\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A_i\}.$$ 

In particular, the probability of any event is equal to the sum of the probabilities of the outcomes in the sample space that constitute the event since outcomes are elementary events which are mutually exclusive.

A number of the most important results that follow from these definitions are presented below. The reader should make an effort to prove these independently.

- For any event $A$, $\text{Prob}\{A^c\} = 1 - \text{Prob}\{A\}$. Alternatively, $\text{Prob}\{A\} + \text{Prob}\{A^c\} = 1$.
- For the impossible event $\phi$, $\text{Prob}\{\phi\} = 0$ (since $\text{Prob}\{\Omega\} = 1$).
- If $A$ and $B$ are any events, not necessarily mutually exclusive,

$$\text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} = \text{Prob}\{A\} + \text{Prob}\{B\} - \text{Prob}\{A \cap B\}.$$ 

Thus $\text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} \leq \text{Prob}\{A\} + \text{Prob}\{B\}$.

- For arbitrary events $A$ and $B$,

$$\text{Prob}\{A - B\} = \text{Prob}\{A\} - \text{Prob}\{A \cap B\}.$$ 

- For arbitrary events $A$ and $B$ with $B \subseteq A$,

$$\text{Prob}\{B\} \leq \text{Prob}\{A\}.$$ 

It is interesting to observe that an event having probability zero does not necessarily mean that this event cannot occur. The probability of no heads appearing in an infinite number of throws of a fair coin is zero, but this event can occur.

**Probability Space**

The set of subsets of a given set, which includes the empty subset and the complete set itself, is sometimes referred to as the *superset* or *power set* of the given set. The superset of a set of elements in a sample space is therefore the set of all possible events that may be defined on that space. When the sample space is finite, or even when it is countably infinite (denumerable), it is possible to assign probabilities to each event in such a way that all three axioms are satisfied. However, when the sample space is not denumerable, such as the set of points on a segment of the real line, such an assignment of probabilities may not be possible. To avoid difficulties of this nature, we restrict the set of events to those to which probabilities satisfying all three axioms can be assigned. This is the basis of “measure theory;” for a given application, there is a particular family of events (a class of subsets of $\Omega$), to which probabilities can be assigned, i.e., given a “measure.” We shall call this family of subsets $\mathcal{F}$. Since we will wish to apply set operations, we need to insist that $\mathcal{F}$ be closed under countable unions, intersections, and complementation. A collection of subsets of a given set $\Omega$ that is closed under countable unions and complementation is called a *$\sigma$-field* of subsets of $\Omega$. 
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The term \( \sigma \)-algebra is also used. Using DeMorgan’s law, it may be shown that countable intersections of subsets of a \( \sigma \)-field \( \mathcal{F} \) also lie in \( \mathcal{F} \).

**Example 1.23** The set \( (\Omega, \phi) \) is the smallest \( \sigma \)-field defined on a sample space. It is sometimes called the trivial \( \sigma \)-field over \( \Omega \) and is a subset of every other \( \sigma \)-field over \( \Omega \). The superset of \( \Omega \) is the largest \( \sigma \)-field over \( \Omega \).

**Example 1.24** If \( \mathcal{A} \) and \( \mathcal{B} \) are two events, then the set containing the events \( \Omega, \phi, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}^c, \mathcal{B}, \) and \( \mathcal{B}^c \) is a \( \sigma \)-field.

**Example 1.25** In a die-rolling experiment having sample space \( \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6\} \), the following are all \( \sigma \)-fields:

\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ \Omega, \phi \},
\]
\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ \Omega, \phi, \{2, 4, 6\}, \{1, 3, 5\} \},
\]
\[
\mathcal{F} = \{ \Omega, \phi, \{1, 2, 4, 6\}, \{3, 5\} \},
\]

but the sets \( \{\Omega, \phi, \{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \{5, 6\} \} \) and \( \{\Omega, \phi, \{1, 2, 4, 6\}, \{3, 4, 5\} \} \) are not.

We may now define a probability space or probability system. This is defined as the triplet \( (\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \text{Prob}) \), where \( \Omega \) is a set, \( \mathcal{F} \) is a \( \sigma \)-field of subsets of \( \Omega \) that includes \( \Omega \), and \( \text{Prob} \) is a probability measure on \( \mathcal{F} \) that satisfies the three axioms given above. Thus, \( \text{Prob} \{ \cdot \} \) is a function with domain \( \mathcal{F} \) and range \([0, 1]\) which satisfies axioms 1–3. It assigns a number in \([0, 1]\) to events in \( \mathcal{F} \).

1.3 Conditional Probability

Before performing a probability experiment, we cannot know precisely the particular outcome that will occur, nor whether an event \( \mathcal{A} \), composed of some subset of the outcomes, will actually happen. We may know that the event is likely to take place, if \( \text{Prob} \{ \mathcal{A} \} \) is close to one, or unlikely to take place, if \( \text{Prob} \{ \mathcal{A} \} \) is close to zero, but we cannot be sure until after the experiment has been conducted. \( \text{Prob} \{ \mathcal{A} \} \) is the prior probability of \( \mathcal{A} \). We now ask how this prior probability of an event \( \mathcal{A} \) changes if we are informed that some other event, \( \mathcal{B} \), has occurred. In other words, a probability experiment has taken place and one of the outcomes that constitutes an event \( \mathcal{B} \) observed to have been the result. We are not told which particular outcome in \( \mathcal{B} \) occurred, just that this event was observed to occur. We wish to know, given this additional information, how our knowledge of the probability of \( \mathcal{A} \) occurring must be altered.

**Example 1.26** Let us return to the example in which we consider the probabilities obtained on three throws of a fair coin. The elements of the sample space are

\[
\{ \text{HHH, HHT, HTH, THH, HHT, THT, TTH, TTT} \}
\]

and the probability of each of these events is \(1/8\). Suppose we are interested in the probability of getting three heads, \( \mathcal{A} = \{ \text{HHH} \} \). The prior probability of this event is \( \text{Prob} \{ \mathcal{A} \} = 1/8 \). Now, how do the probabilities change if we know the result of the first throw?

If the first throw gives tails, the event \( \mathcal{B} \) is constituted as \( \mathcal{B} = \{ \text{TTH, THT, TTH, TTT} \} \) and we know that we are not going to get our three heads! Once we know that the result of the first throw is tails, the event of interest becomes impossible, i.e., has probability zero.

If the first throw gives a head, i.e., \( \mathcal{B} = \{ \text{HHH, HHT, HTH, HHT} \} \), then the event \( \mathcal{A} = \{ \text{HHH} \} \) is still possible. The question we are now faced with is to determine the probability of getting \( \{ \text{HHH} \} \) given that we know that the first throw gives a head. Obviously the probability must now be greater than \(1/8\). All we need to do is to get heads on the second and third throws, each of which is obtained with probability \(1/2\). Thus, given that the first throw yields heads, the probability
of getting the event $HHH$ is $1/4$. Of the original eight elementary events, only four of them can now be assigned positive probabilities. From a different vantage point, the event $B$ contains four equiprobable outcomes and is known to have occurred. It follows that the probability of any one of these four equiprobable outcomes, and in particular that of $HHH$, is $1/4$.

The effect of knowing that a certain event has occurred changes the original probabilities of other events defined on the sample space. Some of these may become zero; for some others, their associated probability is increased. For yet others, there may be no change.

**Example 1.27** Consider Figure 1.10 which represents a sample space with 24 elements all with probability $1/24$. Suppose that we are told that event $B$ has occurred. As a result the prior probabilities associated with elementary events outside $B$ must be reset to zero and the sum of the probabilities of the elementary events inside $B$ must sum to 1. In other words, the probabilities of the elementary events must be renormalized so that only those that can possibly occur have strictly positive probability and these probabilities must be coherent, i.e., they must sum to 1. Since the elementary events in $B$ are equiprobable, after renormalization, they must each have probability $1/12$.

![Figure 1.10. Sample space with 24 equiprobable elements.](image)

We let $\text{Prob}\{A|B\}$ denote the probability of $A$ given that event $B$ has occurred. Because of the need to renormalize the probabilities so that they continue to sum to 1 after this given event has taken place, we must have

$$\text{Prob}\{A|B\} = \frac{\text{Prob}\{A \cap B\}}{\text{Prob}\{B\}}. \quad (1.1)$$

Since it is known that event $B$ occurred, it must have positive probability, i.e., $\text{Prob}\{B\} > 0$, and hence the quotient in Equation (1.1) is well defined. The quantity $\text{Prob}\{A|B\}$ is called the *conditional probability of event $A$ given the hypothesis $B$*. It is defined only when $\text{Prob}\{B\} \neq 0$.

Notice that a rearrangement of Equation (1.1) gives

$$\text{Prob}\{A \cap B\} = \text{Prob}\{A|B\}\text{Prob}\{B\}. \quad (1.2)$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Prob}\{A \cap B\} = \text{Prob}\{B|A\}\text{Prob}\{A\}$$

provided that $\text{Prob}\{A\} > 0$.

Since conditional probabilities are probabilities in the strictest sense of the term, they satisfy all the properties that we have seen so far concerning ordinary probabilities. In addition, the following hold:

- Let $A$ and $B$ be two mutually exclusive events. Then $A \cap B = \phi$ and hence $\text{Prob}\{A|B\} = 0$.
- If event $B$ implies event $A$, (i.e., $B \subset A$), then $\text{Prob}\{A|B\} = 1$. 
Example 1.28 Let \( A \) be the event that a red queen is pulled from a deck of cards and let \( B \) be the event that a red card is pulled. Then \( \text{Prob}[A|B] \), the probability that a red queen is pulled given that a red card is chosen, is

\[
\text{Prob}[A|B] = \frac{\text{Prob}[A \cap B]}{\text{Prob}[B]} = \frac{2/52}{1/2} = 1/13.
\]

Notice in this example that \( \text{Prob}[A \cap B] \) and \( \text{Prob}[B] \) are prior probabilities. Thus the event \( A \cap B \) contains two of the 52 possible outcomes and the event \( B \) contains 26 of the 52 possible outcomes.

Example 1.29 If we observe Figure 1.11 we see that \( \text{Prob}[A \cap B] = 1/6 \), that \( \text{Prob}[B] = 1/2 \), and that \( \text{Prob}[A|B] = (1/6)/(1/2) = 1/3 \) as expected. We know that \( B \) has occurred and that event \( A \) will occur if one of the four outcomes in \( A \cap B \) is chosen from among the 12 equally probable outcomes.

![Figure 1.11. \( \text{Prob}[A|B] = 1/3 \).](image)

Equation (1.2) can be generalized to multiple events. Let \( A_i, \; i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \), be \( k \) events for which \( \text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k] > 0 \). Then

\[
\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k] = \text{Prob}[A_1] \text{Prob}[A_2|A_1] \text{Prob}[A_3|A_1 \cap A_2] \cdots \times \text{Prob}[A_k|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_{k-1}].
\]

The proof is by induction. The base clause \( (k = 2) \) follows from Equation (1.2):

\[
\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2] = \text{Prob}[A_1] \text{Prob}[A_2|A_1].
\]

Now let \( A = A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \) and assume the relation is true for \( k \), i.e., that

\[
\text{Prob}[A] = \text{Prob}[A_1] \text{Prob}[A_2|A_1] \text{Prob}[A_3|A_1 \cap A_2] \cdots \text{Prob}[A_k|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_{k-1}].
\]

That the relation is true for \( k + 1 \) follows immediately, since

\[
\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k \cap A_{k+1}] = \text{Prob}[A \cap A_{k+1}] = \text{Prob}[A] \text{Prob}[A_{k+1}|A].
\]

Example 1.30 In a first-year graduate level class of 60 students, ten students are undergraduates. Let us compute the probability that three randomly chosen students are all undergraduates. We shall let \( A_1 \) be the event that the first student chosen is an undergraduate student, \( A_2 \) be the event that the second one chosen is an undergraduate, and so on. Recalling that the intersection of two events \( A \) and \( B \) is the event that occurs when both \( A \) and \( B \) occur, and using the relationship

\[
\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3] = \text{Prob}[A_1] \text{Prob}[A_2|A_1] \text{Prob}[A_3|A_1 \cap A_2],
\]

we obtain

\[
\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap A_3] = \frac{10}{60} \times \frac{9}{59} \times \frac{8}{58} = 0.003507.
\]
1.4 Independent Events

We saw previously that two events are mutually exclusive if and only if the probability of the union of these two events is equal to the sum of the probabilities of the events, i.e., if and only if
\[ \text{Prob}(A \cup B) = \text{Prob}(A) + \text{Prob}(B). \]

Now we investigate the probability associated with the intersection of two events. We shall see that the probability of the intersection of two events is equal to the product of the probabilities of the events if and only if the outcome of one event does not influence the outcome of the other, i.e., if and only if the two events are independent of each other.

Let \( B \) be an event with positive probability, i.e., \( \text{Prob}(B) > 0 \). Then event \( A \) is said to be independent of event \( B \) if
\[ \text{Prob}(A|B) = \text{Prob}(A). \] (1.3)

Thus the fact that event \( B \) occurs with positive probability has no effect on event \( A \). Equation (1.3) essentially says that the probability of event \( A \) occurring, given that \( B \) has already occurred, is just the same as the unconditional probability of event \( A \) occurring. It makes no difference at all that event \( B \) has occurred.

Example 1.31 Consider an experiment that consists in rolling two colored (and hence distinguishable) dice, one red and one green. Let \( A \) be the event that the sum of spots obtained is 7, and let \( B \) be the event that the red die shows 3. There are a total of 36 outcomes, each represented as a pair \((i, j)\), where \( i \) denotes the number of spots on the red die, and \( j \) the number of spots on the green die. Of these 36 outcomes, six, namely, \((1, 6), (2, 5), (3, 4), (4, 3), (5, 2), (6, 1)\), result in event \( A \) and hence \( \text{Prob}(A) = 6/36 \). Also six outcomes result in the occurrence of event \( B \), namely, \((3, 1), (3, 2), (3, 3), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 6)\), but only one of these gives event \( A \). Therefore \( \text{Prob}(A|B) = 1/6 \).

Events \( A \) and \( B \) must therefore be independent since
\[ \text{Prob}(A|B) = 1/6 = 6/36 = \text{Prob}(A). \]

If event \( A \) is independent of event \( B \), then event \( B \) must be independent of event \( A \); i.e., independence is a symmetric relationship. Substituting \( \text{Prob}(A \cap B)/\text{Prob}(B) \) for \( \text{Prob}(A|B) \) it must follow that, for independent events
\[ \text{Prob}(A|B) \quad \text{Prob}(A \cap B) = \frac{\text{Prob}(A \cap B)}{\text{Prob}(B)} = \text{Prob}(A) \]
or, rearranging terms, that
\[ \text{Prob}(A \cap B) = \text{Prob}(A) \text{Prob}(B). \]

Indeed, this is frequently taken as a definition of independence. Two events \( A \) and \( B \) are said to be independent if and only if
\[ \text{Prob}(A \cap B) = \text{Prob}(A) \text{Prob}(B). \]

Pursuing this direction, it then follows that, for two independent events
\[ \text{Prob}(A|B) = \frac{\text{Prob}(A \cap B)}{\text{Prob}(B)} = \frac{\text{Prob}(A) \text{Prob}(B)}{\text{Prob}(B)} = \text{Prob}(A), \]
which conveniently brings us back to the starting point.

Example 1.32 Suppose a fair coin is thrown twice. Let \( A \) be the event that a head occurs on the first throw, and \( B \) the event that a head occurs on the second throw. Are \( A \) and \( B \) independent events?

Obviously \( \text{Prob}(A) = 1/2 = \text{Prob}(B) \). The event \( A \cap B \) is the event of a head occurring on the first throw and a head occurring on the second throw. Thus, \( \text{Prob}(A \cap B) = 1/2 \times 1/2 = 1/4 \) and
Example 1.33 Let $A$ be the event that a card pulled randomly from a deck of 52 cards is red, and let $B$ be the event that this card is a queen. Are $A$ and $B$ independent events? What happens if event $B$ is now the event that the card pulled is the queen of hearts?

The probability of pulling a red card is $1/2$ and the probability of pulling a queen is $1/13$. Thus $\text{Prob}[A] = 1/2$ and $\text{Prob}[B] = 1/13$. Now let us find the probability of the event $A \cap B$ (the probability of pulling a red queen) and see if it equals the product of these two. Since there are two red queens, the probability of choosing a red queen is $2/52$, which is indeed equal to the product of $\text{Prob}[A]$ and $\text{Prob}[B]$ and so the events are independent.

If event $B$ is now the event that the card pulled is the queen of hearts, then $\text{Prob}[B] = 1/52$. But now the event $A \cap B$ consists of a single outcome: there is only one red card that is the queen of hearts, and so $\text{Prob}[A \cap B] = 1/52$. Therefore the two events are not independent since

$$\frac{1}{52} = \text{Prob}[A \cap B] \neq \text{Prob}[A] \times \text{Prob}[B] = \frac{1}{2} \times \frac{1}{13}.$$

We may show that, if $A$ and $B$ are independent events, then the pairs $(A, B^c)$, $(A^c, B)$, and $(A \cap B)$ are also independent. For example, to show that $A$ and $B^c$ are independent, we proceed as follows. Using the result, $\text{Prob}[A] = \text{Prob}[A \cap B] + \text{Prob}[A \cap B^c]$ we obtain

$$\text{Prob}[A \cap B^c] = \text{Prob}[A] - \text{Prob}[A \cap B] = \text{Prob}[A] - \text{Prob}[A] \times \text{Prob}[B] = \text{Prob}[A] (1 - \text{Prob}[B]) = \text{Prob}[A] \times (1 - \text{Prob}[B^c]).$$

The fact that, given two independent events $A$ and $B$, the four events $A$, $B$, $A^c$, and $B^c$ are pairwise independent, has a number of useful applications.

Example 1.34 Before being loaded onto a distribution truck, packages are subject to two independent tests, to ensure that the truck driver can safely handle them. The weight of the package must not exceed 80 lbs and the sum of the three dimensions must be less than 8 feet. It has been observed that 5% of packages exceed the weight limit and 2% exceed the dimension limit. What is the probability that a package that meets the weight requirement fails the dimension requirement?

The sample space contains four possible outcomes: $(ws, du)$, $(wu, ds)$, $(ws, du)$, and $(wu, du)$, where $w$ and $d$ represent weight and dimension, respectively, and $s$ and $u$ represent satisfactory and unsatisfactory, respectively. Let $A$ be the event that a package satisfies the weight requirement, and $B$ the event that it satisfies the dimension requirement. Then $\text{Prob}[A] = 0.95$ and $\text{Prob}[B] = 0.98$. We also have $\text{Prob}[A^c] = 0.05$ and $\text{Prob}[B^c] = 0.02$.

The event of interest is the single outcome $(ws, du)$, which is given by $\text{Prob}[A \cap B^c]$. Since $A$ and $B$ are independent, it follows that $A$ and $B^c$ are independent and hence

$$\text{Prob}[(ws, du)] = \text{Prob}[A \cap B^c] = \text{Prob}[A] \times \text{Prob}[B^c] = 0.95 \times 0.02 = 0.0019.$$  

Multiple Independent Events

Consider now multiple events. Let $Z$ be an arbitrary class of events, i.e.,

$$Z = A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n, \ldots.$$

These events are said to be mutually independent (or simply independent), if, for every finite subclass $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k$ of $Z$,

$$\text{Prob}[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \cdots \cap A_k] = \text{Prob}[A_1] \times \text{Prob}[A_2] \times \cdots \times \text{Prob}[A_k].$$
In other words, any pair of events \((A_i, A_j)\) must satisfy
\[
\Pr(A_i \cap A_j) = \Pr(A_i)\Pr(A_j);
\]
any triplet of events \((A_i, A_j, A_k)\) must satisfy
\[
\Pr(A_i \cap A_j \cap A_k) = \Pr(A_i)\Pr(A_j)\Pr(A_k);
\]
and so on, for quadruples of events, for quintuples of events, etc.

**Example 1.35** The following example shows the need for this definition. Figure 1.12 shows a sample space with 16 equiprobable elements and on which three events \(A, B,\) and \(C\), each with probability 1/2, are defined. Also, observe that
\[
\Pr(A \cap B) = \Pr(A \cap C) = \Pr(B \cap C)
\]
\[
= \Pr(A)\Pr(B) = \Pr(A)\Pr(C) = \Pr(B)\Pr(C) = 1/4
\]
and hence \(A, B,\) and \(C\) are **pairwise** independent.

![Figure 1.12. Sample space with 16 equiprobable elements.](image)

However, they are not **mutually** independent since
\[
\Pr(C|A \cap B) = \frac{\Pr(A \cap B \cap C)}{\Pr(A \cap B)} = \frac{1/4}{1/4} = 1 \neq \Pr(C).
\]

Alternatively,
\[
1/4 = \Pr(A \cap B \cap C) \neq \Pr(A)\Pr(B)\Pr(C) = 1/8.
\]

In conclusion, we say that the three events \(A, B,\) and \(C\) defined above, are not independent; they are simply pairwise independent. Events \(A, B,\) and \(C\) are **mutually** independent only if *all* the following conditions hold:
\[
\Pr(A \cap B) = \Pr(A)\Pr(B),
\]
\[
\Pr(A \cap C) = \Pr(A)\Pr(C),
\]
\[
\Pr(B \cap C) = \Pr(B)\Pr(C),
\]
\[
\Pr(A \cap B \cap C) = \Pr(A)\Pr(B)\Pr(C).
\]

**Example 1.36** Consider a sample space that contains four equiprobable outcomes denoted \(a, b, c,\) and \(d\). Define three events on this sample space as follows: \(A = \{a, b\}, B = \{a, b, c\},\) and \(C = \emptyset\). This time
\[
\Pr(A \cap B \cap C) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Pr(A)\Pr(B)\Pr(C) = 1/2 \times 3/4 \times 0 = 0
\]
but
\[
1/2 = \Pr(A \cap B) \neq \Pr(A)\Pr(B) = 1/2 \times 3/4.
\]

The events \(A, B,\) and \(C\) are not independent, nor even pairwise independent.
1.5 Law of Total Probability

If \( A \) is any event, then it is known that the intersection of \( A \) and the universal event \( \Omega \) is \( A \). It is also known that an event \( B \) and its complement \( B^c \) constitute a partition. Thus

\[
A = A \cap \Omega \quad \text{and} \quad B \cup B^c = \Omega.
\]

Substituting the second of these into the first and then applying DeMorgan’s law, we find

\[
A = A \cap (B \cup B^c) = (A \cap B) \cup (A \cap B^c).
\] (1.4)

Notice that the events \((A \cap B)\) and \((A \cap B^c)\) are mutually exclusive. This is illustrated in Figure 1.13 which shows that, since \( B \) and \( B^c \) cannot have any outcomes in common, the intersection of \( A \) and \( B \) cannot have any outcomes in common with the intersection of \( A \) and \( B^c \).

![Figure 1.13. Events (\(A \cap B\)) and (\(A \cap B^c\)) are mutually exclusive.](image)

Returning to Equation (1.4), using the fact that \((A \cap B)\) and \((A \cap B^c)\) are mutually exclusive, and applying Axiom 3, we obtain

\[
\text{Prob}\{A\} = \text{Prob}\{(A \cap B)\} + \text{Prob}\{(A \cap B^c)\}.
\]

This means that to evaluate the probability of the event \( A \), it is sufficient to find the probabilities of the intersection of \( A \) with \( B \) and \( A \) with \( B^c \) and to add them together. This is frequently easier than trying to find the probability of \( A \) by some other method.

The same rule applies for any partition of the sample space and not just a partition defined by an event and its complement. Recall that a partition is a set of events that are mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive. Let the \( n \) events \( B_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), be a partition of the sample space \( \Omega \). Then, for any event \( A \), we can write

\[
\text{Prob}\{A\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A \cap B_i\}, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

This is the law of total probability. To show that this law must hold, observe that the sets \( A \cap B_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), are mutually exclusive (since the \( B_i \) are) and the fact that \( B_i, \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), is a partition of \( \Omega \) implies that

\[
A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A \cap B_i, \quad n \geq 1.
\]

Hence, using Axiom 3,

\[
\text{Prob}\{A\} = \text{Prob}\left\{ \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A \cap B_i \right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A \cap B_i\}.
\]

**Example 1.37** As an illustration, consider Figure 1.14, which shows a partition of a sample space containing 24 equiprobable outcomes into six events, \( B_1 \) through \( B_6 \).
It follows then that the probability of the event $A$ is equal to 1/4, since it contains six of the sample points. Because the events $B_i$ constitute a partition, each point of $A$ is in one and only one of the events $B_i$ and the probability of event $A$ can be found by adding the probabilities of the events $A \cap B_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6$. For this particular example it can be seen that these six probabilities are given by 0, 1/24, 1/12, 0, 1/24, and 1/12 which when added together gives 1/4.

The law of total probability is frequently presented in a different context, one that explicitly involves conditional probabilities. We have

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A \cap B_i\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A|B_i\}\text{Prob}\{B_i\}, \quad (1.5)$$

which means that we can find $\text{Prob}\{A\}$ by first finding the probability of $A$ given $B_i$, for all $i$, and then computing their weighted average. This often turns out to be a much more convenient way of computing the probability of the event $A$, since in many instances we are provided with information concerning conditional probabilities of an event and we need to use Equation (1.5) to remove these conditions to find the unconditional probability, $\text{Prob}\{A\}$.

To show that Equation (1.5) is true, observe that, since the events $B_i$ form a partition, we must have $\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i = \Omega$ and hence

$$A = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A \cap B_i.$$

Thus

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = \text{Prob}\left\{\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A \cap B_i\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{Prob}\{A \cap B_i\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\text{Prob}\{A \cap B_i\}}{\text{Prob}\{B_i\}} \text{Prob}\{B_i\}$$

and the desired result follows.

**Example 1.38** Suppose three boxes contain a mixture of white and black balls. The first box contains 12 white and three black balls; the second contains four white and 16 black balls and the third contains six white and four black balls. A box is selected and a single ball is chosen from it. The choice of box is made according to a throw of a fair die. If the number of spots on the die is 1, the first box is selected. If the number of spots is 2 or 3, the second box is chosen; otherwise (the number of spots is equal to 4, 5, or 6) the third box is chosen. Suppose we wish to find $\text{Prob}\{A\}$ where $A$ is the event that a white ball is drawn.

In this case we shall base the partition on the three boxes. Specifically, let $B_i, i = 1, 2, 3$, be the event that box $i$ is chosen. Then $\text{Prob}\{B_1\} = 1/6, \text{Prob}\{B_2\} = 2/6,$ and $\text{Prob}\{B_3\} = 3/6.$ Applying the law of total probability, we have

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = \text{Prob}\{A|B_1\}\text{Prob}\{B_1\} + \text{Prob}\{A|B_2\}\text{Prob}\{B_2\} + \text{Prob}\{A|B_3\}\text{Prob}\{B_3\},$$

which is easily computed using

$$\text{Prob}\{A|B_1\} = 12/15, \quad \text{Prob}\{A|B_2\} = 4/20, \quad \text{Prob}\{A|B_3\} = 6/10.$$
We have

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = 12/15 \times 1/6 + 4/20 \times 2/6 + 6/10 \times 3/6 = 1/2.$$ 

### 1.6 Bayes’ Rule

It frequently happens that we are told that a certain event $A$ has occurred and we would like to know which of the mutually exclusive and collectively exhaustive events $B_j$ has occurred, at least probabilistically. In other words, we would like to know $\text{Prob}\{B_j|A\}$ for any $j$. Consider some oft-discussed examples. In one scenario, we may be told that among a certain population there are those who carry a specific disease and those who are disease-free. This provides us with the partition of the sample space (the population) into two disjoint sets. A certain, not entirely reliable, test may be performed on patients with the object of detecting the presence of this disease. If we know the ratio of diseased to disease-free patients and the reliability of the testing procedure, then given that a patient is declared to be disease-free by the testing procedure, we may wish to know the probability that the patient in fact actually has the disease (the probability that the patient falls into the first (or second) of the two disjoint sets). The same scenario may be obtained by substituting integrated circuit chips for the population, and partitioning it into defective and good chips, along with a tester which may sometimes declare a defective chip to be good and vice versa. Given that a chip is declared to be defective, we wish to know the probability that it is in fact defective. The transmission of data over a communication channel subject to noise is yet a third example. In this case the partition is the information that is sent (usually 0’s and 1s) and the noise on the channel may or may not alter the data. Scenarios such as these are best answered using Bayes’ rule.

We obtain Bayes’ rule from our previous results on conditional probability and the theorem of total probability. We have

$$\text{Prob}\{B_j|A\} = \frac{\text{Prob}\{A \cap B_j\}}{\text{Prob}\{A\}} = \frac{\text{Prob}\{A|B_j\}\text{Prob}\{B_j\}}{\sum_i \text{Prob}\{A|B_i\}\text{Prob}\{B_i\}}.$$ 

Although it may seem that this complicates matters, what we are in fact doing is dividing the problem into simpler pieces. This becomes obvious in the following example where we choose a sample space partitioned into three events, rather than into two as is the case with the examples outlined above.

**Example 1.39** Consider a university professor who observes the students who come into his office with questions. This professor determines that 60% of the students are BSc students whereas 30% are MSc and only 10% are PhD students. The professor further notes that he can handle the questions of 80% of the BSc students in less than five minutes, whereas only 50% of the MSc students and 40% of the PhD students can be handled in five minutes or less. The next student to enter the professor’s office needed only two minutes of the professor time. What is the probability that student was a PhD student?

To answer this question, we will let $B_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, be the event “the student is a BSc, MSc, PhD” student, respectively, and we will let event $A$ be the event “student requires five minutes or less.” From the theorem of total probability, we have

$$\text{Prob}\{A\} = \text{Prob}\{A|B_1\}\text{Prob}\{B_1\} + \text{Prob}\{A|B_2\}\text{Prob}\{B_2\} + \text{Prob}\{A|B_3\}\text{Prob}\{B_3\}$$

$$= 0.8 \times 0.6 + 0.5 \times 0.3 + 0.4 \times 0.1 = 0.6700.$$ 

This computation gives us the denominator for insertion into Bayes’ rule. It tells us that approximately two-thirds of all students’ questions can be handled in five minutes or less. What we would
now like to compute is \( \text{Prob} \{ B_3 | A \} \), which from Bayes’ rule, is

\[
\text{Prob} \{ B_3 | A \} = \frac{\text{Prob} \{ A | B_3 \} \text{Prob} \{ B_3 \}}{\text{Prob} \{ A \}} = \frac{0.4 \times 0.1}{0.6700} = 0.0597,
\]

or about 6% and is the answer that we seek.

The critical point in answering questions such as these is in determining which set of events constitutes the partition of the sample space. Valuable clues are usually found in the question posed. If we remember that we are asked to compute \( \text{Prob} \{ B_j | A \} \) and relate this to the words in the question, then it becomes apparent that the words “student was a PhD student” suggest a partition based on the status of the student, and the event \( \mathcal{A} \), the information we are given, relates to the time taken by the student. The key is in understanding that we are given \( \text{Prob} \{ A | B_j \} \) and we are asked to find \( \text{Prob} \{ B_j | A \} \). In its simplest form, Bayes’ law is written as

\[
\text{Prob} \{ B | A \} = \frac{\text{Prob} \{ A | B \} \text{Prob} \{ B \}}{\text{Prob} \{ A \}}.
\]

1.7 Exercises

Exercise 1.1.1 A multiprocessing system contains six processors, each of which may be up and running, or down and in need of repair. Describe an element of the sample space and find the number of elements in the sample space. List the elements in the event \( \mathcal{A} = \text{“at least five processors are working.”} \)

Exercise 1.1.2 A gum ball dispenser contains a large number of gum balls in three different colors, red, green, and yellow. Assuming that the gum balls are dispensed one at a time, describe an appropriate sample space for this scenario and list all possible events.

A determined child continues to buy gum balls until he gets a yellow one. Describe an appropriate sample space in this case.

Exercise 1.1.3 A brother and a sister arrive at the gum ball dispenser of the previous question, and each of them buys a single gum ball. The boy always allows his sister to go first. Let \( \mathcal{A} \) be the event that the girl gets a yellow gum ball and let \( \mathcal{B} \) be the event that at least one of them gets a yellow gum ball.

(a) Describe an appropriate sample space in this case.
(b) What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A} \)?
(c) What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{B} \)?
(d) What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} \)?
(e) What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}^c \)?
(f) What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{B} - \mathcal{A} \)?

Exercise 1.1.4 The mail that arrives at our house is for father, mother, or children and may be categorized into junk mail, bills, or personal letters. The family scrutinizes each piece of incoming mail and observes that it is one of nine types, from \( jf \) (junk mail for father) through \( pc \) (personal letter for children). Thus, in terms of trials and outcomes, each trial is an examination of a letter and each outcome is a two-letter word.

(a) What is the sample space of this experiment?
(b) Let \( \mathcal{A}_1 \) be the event “junk mail.” What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A}_1 \)?
(c) Let \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) be the event “mail for children.” What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A}_2 \)?
(d) Let \( \mathcal{A}_3 \) be the event “not personal.” What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A}_3 \)?
(e) Let \( \mathcal{A}_4 \) be the event “mail for parents.” What outcomes constitute event \( \mathcal{A}_4 \)?
(f) Are events \( \mathcal{A}_2 \) and \( \mathcal{A}_3 \) mutually exclusive?
(g) Are events \( \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \) and \( \mathcal{A}_3 \) collectively exhaustive?
(h) Which events imply another?

Exercise 1.1.5 Consider an experiment in which three different coins (say a penny, a nickel, and a dime in that order) are tossed and the sequence of heads and tails observed. For each of the following pairs of events,
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\( A \) and \( B \), give the subset of outcomes that defines the events and state whether the pair of events are mutually exclusive, collectively exhaustive, neither or both.

(a) \( A \): The penny comes up heads. \( B \): The penny comes up tails.
(b) \( A \): The penny comes up heads. \( B \): The dime comes up tails.
(c) \( A \): At least one of the coins shows heads. \( B \): At least one of the coins shows tails.
(d) \( A \): There is exactly one head showing. \( B \): There is exactly one tail showing.
(e) \( A \): Two or more heads occur. \( B \): Two or more tails occur.

Exercise 1.1.6 A brand new light bulb is placed in a socket and the time it takes until it burns out is measured. Describe an appropriate sample space for this experiment. Use mathematical set notation to describe the following events:

(a) \( A = \) the light bulb lasts at least 100 hours.
(b) \( B = \) the light bulb lasts between 120 and 160 hours.
(c) \( C = \) the light bulb lasts less than 200 hours.
(d) \( A \cap C^c \).

Exercise 1.2.1 An unbiased die is thrown once. Compute the probability of the following events.

(a) \( A_1 \): The number of spots shown is odd.
(b) \( A_2 \): The number of spots shown is less than 3.
(c) \( A_3 \): The number of spots shown is a prime number.

Exercise 1.2.2 Two unbiased dice are thrown simultaneously. Describe an appropriate sample space and specify the probability that should be assigned to each. Also, find the probability of the following events:

(a) \( A_1 \): The number on each die is equal to 1.
(b) \( A_2 \): The sum of the spots on the two dice is equal to 3.
(c) \( A_3 \): The sum of the spots on the two dice is greater than 10.

Exercise 1.2.3 A card is drawn from a standard pack of 52 well-shuffled cards. What is the probability that it is a king? Without replacing this first king card, a second card is drawn. What is the probability that the second card pulled is a king? What is the probability that the first four cards drawn from a standard deck of 52 well-shuffled cards are all kings. Once drawn, a card is not replaced in the deck.

Exercise 1.2.4 Prove the following relationships.

(a) \( \text{Prob} \{ A \cup B \} = \text{Prob} \{ A \} + \text{Prob} \{ B \} - \text{Prob} \{ A \cap B \} \).
(b) \( \text{Prob} \{ A \cap B^c \} = \text{Prob} \{ A \cup B \} - \text{Prob} \{ B \} \).

Exercise 1.2.5 A card is drawn at random from a standard deck of 52 well-shuffled cards. Let \( A \) be the event that the card drawn is a queen and let \( B \) be the event that the card pulled is red. Find the probabilities of the following events and state in words what they represent.

(a) \( A \cap B \).
(b) \( A \cup B \).
(c) \( B - A \).

Exercise 1.2.6 A university professor drives from his home in Cary to his university office in Raleigh each day. His car, which is rather old, fails to start one out of every eight times and he ends up taking his wife’s car. Furthermore, the rate of growth of Cary is so high that traffic problems are common. The professor finds that 70% of the time, traffic is so bad that he is forced to drive fast his preferred exit off the beltline, Western Boulevard, and take the next exit, Hillsborough street. What is the probability of seeing this professor driving to his office along Hillsborough street, in his wife’s car?

Exercise 1.2.7 A prisoner in a Kafkaesque prison is put in the following situation. A regular deck of 52 cards is placed in front of him. He must choose cards one at a time to determine their color. Once chosen, the card is replaced in the deck and the deck is shuffled. If the prisoner happens to select three consecutive red cards, he is executed. If he happens to selects six cards before three consecutive red cards appear, he is granted freedom. What is the probability that the prisoner is executed.
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Exercise 1.2.8 Three marksmen fire simultaneously and independently at a target. What is the probability of the target being hit at least once, given that marksman one hits a target nine times out of ten, marksman two hits a target eight times out of ten while marksman three only hits a target one out of every two times.

Exercise 1.2.9 Fifty teams compete in a student programming competition. It has been observed that 60% of the teams use the programming language C while the others use C++, and experience has shown that teams who program in C are twice as likely to win as those who use C++. Furthermore, ten teams who use C++ include a graduate student, while only four of those who use C include a graduate student.

(a) What is the probability that the winning team programs in C?
(b) What is the probability that the winning team programs in C and includes a graduate student?
(c) What is the probability that the winning team includes a graduate student?
(d) Given that the winning team includes a graduate student, what is the probability that team programmed in C?

Exercise 1.3.1 Let \( A \) be the event that an odd number of spots comes up when a fair die is thrown, and let \( B \) be the event that the number of spots is a prime number. What is Prob\( \{A \cap B\} \) and Prob\( \{B \mid A\} \)?

Exercise 1.3.2 A card is drawn from a well-shuffled standard deck of 52 cards. Let \( A \) be the event that the chosen card, is a heart, let \( B \) be the event that it is a black card, and let \( C \) be the event that the chosen card is a red queen. Find Prob\( \{A \mid C\} \) and Prob\( \{B \mid C\} \). Which of the events \( A, B, \) and \( C \) are mutually exclusive?

Exercise 1.3.3 A family has three children. What is the probability that all three children are boys? What is the probability that there are two girls and one boy? Given that at least one of the three is a boy, what is the probability that all three children are boys. You should assume that Prob\( \{boy\} = Prob\{girl\} = 1/2 \).

Exercise 1.3.4 Three cards are placed in a box; one is white on both sides, one is black on both sides, and the third is white on one side and black on the other. One card is chosen at random from the box and placed on a table. The (uppermost) face that shows is white. Explain why the probability that the hidden face is black is equal to \( 1/3 \) and not \( 1/2 \).

Exercise 1.4.1 If Prob\( \{A \mid B\} = \text{Prob}\{B\} = \text{Prob}\{A \cup B\} = 1/2 \), are \( A \) and \( B \) independent?

Exercise 1.4.2 A flashlight contains two batteries that sit one on top of the other. These batteries come from different batches and may be assumed to be independent of one another. Both batteries must work in order for the flashlight to work. If the probability that the first battery is defective is \( 0.05 \) and the probability that the second is defective is \( 0.15 \), what is the probability that the flashlight works properly?

Exercise 1.4.3 A spelunker enters a cave with two flashlights, one that contains three batteries in series (one on top of the other) and another that contains two batteries in series. Assume that all batteries are independent and that each will work with probability 0.9. Find the probability that the spelunker will have some means of illumination during his expedition.

Exercise 1.5.1 Six boxes contain white and black balls. Specifically, each box contains exactly one white ball; also box \( i \) contains \( i \) black balls, for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, 6 \). A fair die is tossed and a ball is selected from the box whose number is given by the die. What is the probability that a white ball is selected?

Exercise 1.5.2 A card is chosen at random from a deck of 52 cards and inserted into a second deck of 52 well-shuffled cards. A card is now selected at random from this augmented deck of 53 cards. Show that the probability of this card being a queen is exactly the same as the probability of drawing a queen from the first deck of 52 cards.

Exercise 1.5.3 A factory has three machines that manufacture widgets. The percentages of a total day’s production manufactured by the machines are \( 10\%, 35\%, \) and \( 55\% \), respectively. Furthermore, it is known that \( 5\%, 3\%, \) and \( 1\% \) of the outputs of the respective three machines are defective. What is the probability that a randomly selected widget at the end of the day’s production runs will be defective?

Exercise 1.5.4 A computer game requires a player to find safe haven in a secure location where her enemies cannot penetrate. Four doorways appear before the player, from which she must choose to enter one and only one. The player must then make a second choice from among two, four, one, or five potholes to descend, respectively depending on which door she walks through. In each case one pothole leads to the safe haven.
The player is rushed into making a decision and in her haste makes choices randomly. What is the probability of her safely reaching the haven?

**Exercise 1.5.5** The first of two boxes contains $b_1$ blue balls and $r_1$ red balls; the second contains $b_2$ blue balls and $r_2$ red balls. One ball is randomly chosen from the first box and put into the second. When this has been accomplished, a ball is chosen at random from the second box and put into the first. A ball is now chosen from the first box. What is the probability that it is blue?

**Exercise 1.6.1** Returning to Exercise 1.5.1, given that the selected ball is white, what is the probability that it came from box 1?

**Exercise 1.6.2** In the scenario of Exercise 1.5.3, what is the probability that a defective, randomly selected widget was produced by the first machine? What is the probability that it was produced by the second machine. And the third?

**Exercise 1.6.3** A bag contains two fair coins and one two-headed coin. One coin is randomly selected, tossed three times, and three heads are obtained. What is the probability that the chosen coin is the two-headed coin?

**Exercise 1.6.4** A most unusual Irish pub serves only Guinness and Harp. The owner of this pub observes that 85% of his male customers drink Guinness as opposed to 35% of his female customers. On any given evening, this pub owner notes that there are three times as many males as females. What is the probability that the person sitting beside the fireplace drinking Guinness is female?

**Exercise 1.6.5** Historically on St. Patrick’s day (March 17), the probability that it rains on the Dublin parade is 0.75. Two television stations are noted for their weather forecasting abilities. The first, which is correct nine times out of ten, says that it will rain on the upcoming parade; the second, which is correct eleven times out of twelve, says that it will not rain. What is the probability that it will rain on the upcoming St. Patrick’s day parade?

**Exercise 1.6.6** 80% of the murders committed in a certain town are committed by men. A dead body with a single gunshot wound in the head has just been found. Two detectives examine the evidence. The first detective, who is right seven times out of ten, announces that the murderer was a male but the second detective, who is right three times out of four, says that the murder was committed by a woman. What is the probability that the author of the crime was a woman?